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International Energy Agency

The International Energy Agency (IEA) was established in 1974 within the framework of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) to implement an international energy programme. A basic aim of the IEA is to foster co-operation among the twenty-four IEA participating countries and to increase energy security through energy conservation, development of alternative energy sources and energy research, development and demonstration (RD&D).

Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems

The IEA sponsors research and development in a number of areas related to energy. The mission of one of those areas, the ECBCS - Energy Conservation for Building and Community Systems Programme, is to facilitate and accelerate the introduction of energy conservation, and environmentally sustainable technologies into healthy buildings and community systems, through innovation and research in decision-making, building assemblies and systems, and commercialisation. The objectives of collaborative work within the ECBCS R&D program are directly derived from the on-going energy and environmental challenges facing IEA countries in the area of construction, energy market and research. ECBCS addresses major challenges and takes advantage of opportunities in the following areas:

- exploitation of innovation and information technology;
- impact of energy measures on indoor health and usability;
- integration of building energy measures and tools to changes in lifestyles, work environment alternatives, and business environment.

The Executive Committee

Overall control of the program is maintained by an Executive Committee, which not only monitors existing projects but also identifies new areas where collaborative effort may be beneficial. To date the following projects have been initiated by the executive committee on Energy Conservation in Buildings and Community Systems (completed projects are identified by (*)):

Annex 1: Load Energy Determination of Buildings (*)
Annex 2: Ekistics and Advanced Community Energy Systems (*)
Annex 3: Energy Conservation in Residential Buildings (*)
Annex 4: Glasgow Commercial Building Monitoring (*)
Annex 5: Air Infiltration and Ventilation Centre
Annex 6: Energy Systems and Design of Communities (*)
Annex 7: Local Government Energy Planning (*)
Annex 8: Inhabitants Behaviour with Regard to Ventilation (*)
Annex 9: Minimum Ventilation Rates (*)
Annex 10: Building HVAC System Simulation (*)
Annex 11: Energy Auditing (*)
Annex 12: Windows and Fenestration (*)
Annex 13: Energy Management in Hospitals (*)
Annex 14: Condensation and Energy (*)
Annex 15: Energy Efficiency in Schools (*)
Annex 16: BEMS 1- User Interfaces and System Integration (*)
Annex 17: BEMS 2- Evaluation and Emulation Techniques (*)
Annex 18: Demand Controlled Ventilation Systems (*)
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Summary
The need for energy efficiency has increased the amount of insulation required, and for well-insulated low-slope roofs this has increased the demand for information on design, installation, and maintenance needs. A primary purpose of this Annex was to assess and report on the current roofing practices in the context of an accumulating database on performance.

Annex 19 ‘Low-slope roof systems’ completed its work with a report entitled ‘A Guidebook for Insulated Low-slope Roof Systems’, published in February 1994. The objective of the report was to describe available information and good practice when working with highly energy-efficient low-slope roof systems. The report describes the various types of low-slope roof and the insulating materials that may be used. The requirements of the various construction methods and insulation combinations are discussed on the context of possible modes of failure. Examples of successful methods are provided.

Participating countries
The participating countries in this task were:
Sweden, Denmark, UK, USA (Operating Agent)
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1. Introduction

Low slope roof systems are common on commercial and industrial buildings and, to a lesser extent, on residential buildings. Although insulating materials have nearly always been a component of low-slope roofs, the amount of insulation used has increased in recent times because of increased energy costs and the need to reduce carbon emissions. As the amount of insulation has increased the demand for design, installation, and maintenance information has also increased, specifically for well-insulated roofs.

Many design and installation issues have been resolved by the industry but still some contractors and building owners attribute roofing problems to the additional insulation. It was the intention of this IEA Task to study the perceived correlations between roofing problems and the addition of insulation, to examine their factual basis, to discuss remedial measures, and to define the research needed to resolve significant outstanding issues. Performance information and illustrative roof systems for all the participating countries were studied.

The roof of a building has several basic functions:
- prevention of ingress of water
- thermally isolate the building from the environment
- accommodate motion of the building
- accept foot-traffic and service penetrations
- resist wind loads
- limit the spread of fire.

As no single material can perform all these functions a roof is a composite of several materials, all of which must perform together as a system. This concept of the roof as a system has been a major contribution to recent roofing studies. Understanding this has underpinned this IEA study which not only provides information on insulation as a roofing material but also emphasises it as a component of the roof system.

With a properly designed roof, the most noticeable benefits of increasing insulation are reduced operating costs and improved occupant comfort. Although the effects are greatest on a single storey building even for a three storey building the roof can represent more than 50% of the exposed surface.

Currently, most nations have recommended minimum levels of insulation for low-slope roofs. Often these are backed up by specific regulations. However, while minimum levels are thus clear, the optimum level results from a trade-off between the added first cost for the insulation and the savings in future operating costs. Life cycle costing is most appropriate for making this analysis and would suggest that, for example, for harsh climate zones in the US 80mm of mineral wool is optimum, whereas in Scandinavia, 200mm would be optimum, with a value between these for the UK.

Calculating the heating and cooling costs attributable to the roof should always be part of the building design process. To do this a suitable mathematical model should be used. This may vary from a simple steady-state model through to a fully dynamic simulation of the heat flows.

The addition of insulation to the roof system may place the system at an increased risk of mechanical failure. Insulation added between the deck and the membrane tends to isolate the membrane from the deck. One consequence of this is that the mechanical stresses applied to the membrane, for example from the perimeter wall, are not as readily transferred to the deck. Thus the membrane will move more when thermally stressed, which ultimately increases the potential
for membrane fatigue. Similarly, severe thermal stresses can be induced by greater temperature variations resulting from the insulation.

Moisture problems can also be exacerbated by insulation as the increased membrane movement increases the risk that leaks will develop, particularly at edges or penetrations. In addition, moisture can move laterally within the insulation and the leak can be quite large before a problem is noticed inside the building.

1.1 Insulation Types, Coverings and Roof Systems

Before describing good roofing practices and issues in relation to insulated roof systems, it is worthwhile to introduce briefly the materials and systems that will be discussed in this report.

1.1.1 Generic Insulation Types

The following section of this report outlines the properties of the main insulation materials used in roof systems. Table 1 shows typical relative material property values for most common roof insulations (where available, the information is quantitative - in SI units).
### Table 1: Material properties of common roof insulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Mineral wool low density</th>
<th>Mineral wool high density</th>
<th>Perlitic</th>
<th>Wood fibre-board</th>
<th>Polyisocyanurate</th>
<th>Extruded polystyrene</th>
<th>Expanded polystyrene</th>
<th>Phenolic foam</th>
<th>Cellular glass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire resistance</td>
<td>Yes (low density)</td>
<td>Yes (high density)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (low density)</td>
<td>Yes (high density)</td>
<td>Yes (low density)</td>
<td>Yes (high density)</td>
<td>Yes (low density)</td>
<td>Yes (high density)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed cell content (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength (kPa)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>170-210</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>120-140</td>
<td>90-690</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage from freeze/thaw (visual)</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage from heat (visual)</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage from water soak (visual)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Mod.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (may include facer) (kg/m³)</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>110-160</td>
<td>130-160</td>
<td>240-320</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18-48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensional stability</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural strength</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>310-380</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>210-690</td>
<td>170-210</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>450-520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friability</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaminar strength</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Mod.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture expansion</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent resistance (visual)</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat [kJ/(kg.K)²]</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal aging</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity [W/(m.K)¹]</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.020-0.025*</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient (10⁶°C⁻¹)</td>
<td>9-14</td>
<td>9-14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54-140</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal resistance (per m) [M/(K.w)²]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vapour permeability (kg/(Pa.K.m)¹)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY to entries:** NF – not a factor; NA – not available; Slight – less than low but not negligible; Qualified – acceptable with conditions (see manufacturer’s literature)

¹ Aged thermal conductivity of a polyisocyanurate foam depends upon facers. ASHRAE 1989, p.227, shows 0.020W/(m.K)¹ for gas-impermeable facers and 0.25 W/(m.K)¹ for no facer.
1.1.2 Polyisocyanurate or Polyurethane Foam
Polyisocyanurate (PIR) and polyurethane (PUR) foam roof insulations are closed-cell foams that were blown with CFC gas at the time of reporting the Task. The chemicals used for PIR and PUR foam are almost identical except for the chemical ratios and catalyst types. The catalyst chemistry and processing temperature differences produce polymers that resist fire differently, affecting fire performance. The chemistry of PIR foam makes it more suitable than PUR for use directly over steel roof deck as it can be used without the need for a relatively expensive fire barrier separation. Therefore it is more widely used. PIR blown with CFC has the best thermal resistance per unit thickness of all major commercial roof insulations but is susceptible to aging as air diffuses into the material and replaces the CFC.

1.1.3 Mineral Wool – High Density
Mineral wool roof insulation is a rigid insulating material in board form composed of mineral wool and a thermosetting binder. The fibres are formed by spinning from either molten glass (sand, limestone, and soda ash) or molten rock (basalt and limestone). The binder is usually phenolic resin. The material is made into boards that can be unfaced or, to allow asphalt mopping for BUR (built-up roof) systems, it can have a top surface treatment. The inorganic, fibrous nature of this product provides fire resistance, good dimensional stability, and conformance to roof-deck irregularities but long term exposure to water causes sufficient deterioration to warrant replacement. It is also limited by its low compressive strength although special forms can be produced to improve this characteristic.

1.1.4 Mineral Wool – Low Density
Low density mineral wool blankets and batts are made similarly to high density materials, but the final product has a density of about 10-25 kg/m³ rather than the 110 to 145 kg/m³ of the high density product. These products can be used for roof insulation if they are installed below a roof deck. They have the advantage of lower cost per unit of insulation R-value than above deck roof insulation when open, accessible deck framing is available.

1.1.5 Polystyrene
Polystyrene foam roof insulation is a rigid, closed-cell foam supplied in board form. Two distinctly different types are commercially available:
- molded expanded polystyrene (EPS)
- extruded expanded polystyrene (XPS).

The two types have significantly different properties and costs, which affect the way they are used in roof systems. In situations where insulation costs must be low EPS is preferred because it costs roughly half as much as XPS.

1.1.5.1 Molded Expanded Polystyrene (EPS)
This product has a nominal density of 16 to 20 kg/m³ when used in roofs. EPS generally has the lowest cost per unit of insulating value of any above-deck insulation, but the installed cost depends on the need for a fire retarder as a top or bottom board for some deck and membrane systems. The thermal conductivity of EPS does not change with age because it does not contain CFC gas. Its combustibility is a significant limitation, even though it is manufactured with a fire-retardant additive.

1.1.5.2 Extruded Expanded Polystyrene (XPS)
Extrusion provides XPS with significantly better water resistance than EPS and the insulating value per unit thickness can be as much as 20% better than EPS because XPS is blown with low-conductivity CFC gas.
1.1.6 Fibreboard
Fibreboard roof insulation has been used for many years in the United States. It is a rigid, cellulosic board product, composed of interlocking wood or cane fibres and water resistant binders. It has a price advantage over mineral wool but its high thermal conductivity suits it more to low thermal performance roofs. As a primary insulation its use has decreased but it is increasingly used as a low-cost coverboard over organic foams.

1.1.7 Perlitic Board
Perlitic board roof insulation is a homogeneous, rigid, insulating material composed of expanded volcanic mineral particles (perlite), some recycled organic fibre, and a waterproofing additive. The high perlite content of this product provides good fire resistance and good dimensional stability. Its relatively low strength makes it prone to handling damage and wind damage and its poor water resistance can lead to permanent structural and thermal degradation. As with fibreboard it can be used in combination with organic foams when its fire resistance can be used to advantage.

1.1.8 Corkboard
Corkboard insulation is a natural material that can be either bitumen bonded or mechanically fixed with screws and washers to the roof deck. It is suitable for metal and other decks. No CFC gases are used in the manufacture and natural binders present in the cork are sufficient to bind the granules together into a single unit. It is friable and therefore liable to damage from rough handling but when used with appropriate decks and finishes has unrestricted use with respect to fire regulations in the UK.

1.1.9 Cellular Glass
Cellular glass is the only inorganic, closed-cell roof insulation in the market-place. It is produced by foaming molten glass at approximately 510°C with an inert gas. The finished moulded product is available either with or without kraft paper facers. It is durable, dimensionally stable, highly fire resistant, and highly water resistant. Its thermal conductivity is poor compared with closed-cell CFC-blown organic insulation and it is also relatively costly for equivalent thermal performance compared with other roof insulation products.

1.1.10 Lightweight Insulating Concrete Deck and Fill
Lightweight insulating concrete is a cementitious deck insulation and roofing substrate produced by combining lightweight insulating aggregates, such as perlite, vermiculite, or expanded clay clinker, with Portland cement and water. Some types are made simply by blending pre-generated foam with Portland cement and water. All of these are applied in a liquid form and are screeded to provide a smooth roofing substrate. The relatively poor insulating value of lightweight concrete makes it necessary to incorporate additional insulation for high thermal performance. This is done in the United States by incorporating foam polystyrene board insulation into the wet lightweight insulating concrete when it is being poured.

1.2 Waterproof Coverings
The primary function of waterproof coverings is to provide a durable, water-tight barrier for the top of a building. This function includes a permanent water seal around all projections through the roof surface, long-term resistance to all weather and climate exposure conditions, and accommodation of movement by the building’s structure without rupture. Generic types of waterproof coverings include:
- bituminous membranes
- mastic asphalt
- elastoplastic polymer membranes
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- metal roofing
- liquid, spray applied membranes.

1.2.1 Bituminous Membranes

Bituminous membranes are composed of layers of waterproofing bitumen (asphalt or coal tar pitch) reinforced with one or more layers of felt. Traditionally, these membranes have been called ‘built up roofs’ (BUR) because they are fabricated on the roof by adhering layers of factory-impregnated felts with hot bitumen. Surfacings are used to improve resistance to fire, roof traffic, hail and ultraviolet light.

Waterproofing bitumens with improved elasticity to accommodate roof stresses have been developed by adding synthetic polymers to the bitumen. These polymer modified bitumens are being incorporated into factory made ‘modified bituminous membranes’ that are in effect complete pre-fabricated membranes ready for installation on roofs.

1.2.2 Mastic Asphalt (Asphaltic Cement)

The UK has a well established and successful history of the use of mastic asphalt as a roof covering. This material is a mixture of suitably graded aggregates bound with refined bitumen. The combination results in a dense coating with no voids that is spread out over a roof in two or three coats to a final thickness of 20-25mm. The result is a membrane that is fully waterproof and very durable. Examples of mastic roof are available that have survived for 50 to 100 years with little maintenance. However, these roofs are uninsulated and subject to ‘thermal shock’ failure. Recently, polymer modified mastic asphalt has been developed to resist thermal stress and improve durability.

1.2.3 Elastoplastic Polymer Membranes

These membranes are factory produced from plastic or elastic polymeric compositions. They are highly durable under the stresses of insulated roof conditions because of long-term flexibility at low temperatures and stability at elevated roof temperatures. They are relatively thin (1.0 to 1.5mm) and are normally applied as a single ply, which can be installed quickly and is lighter in weight than most alternative systems. They require mechanical attachment or loosely laid ballast to add wind resistance and in single ply systems the lap sealing is critical.

The most commonly used vulcanised elastomer is EPDM, typically used without reinforcement whereas the most common nonvulcanised is chlorosulfonated polyethylene (CSPE). This is easier to seam on the roof than EPDM.

Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) is the most commonly used thermoplastic membrane material. It has good flame resistance, and permits solvent or heat welded seams for original installation and repair. They are incompatible with bituminous materials and must be isolated from them in roof systems.

1.2.4 Metal Roofing

Panels made from sheet metal are frequently used for the waterproof covering on both steep and low-slope roofs. They are available in a variety of colours and protective coatings. Examples of metal roof materials and typical thicknesses are:

- copper — 0.6mm
- steel — 0.6mm
- aluminium — 0.8mm.

Metal roofs are described as either supported or spanning and the material tends to dictate the nature of the roof. The supported roof materials (lead, copper, zinc) are generally laid in short lengths and supported on a solid deck at a minimum angle of 15°. Cross joints do not require
sealant and can be either cross welted or simply overlapped. Successful leak-free performance of metal roofing on lower slopes is critically dependent on the design and application quality of seam (jointing) details, flashings at roof penetrations (fixing holes), and substructure attachment to accommodate substantial thermal expansion and contraction. A wide range of surface coatings is available from zinc to PVC and PVF₂.

1.2.5 Liquid, Spray-Applied Membranes
Spray-applied membranes employ fluid asphaltic or elastomeric water-proofing compositions and are installed at ambient temperature. They are commonly classified as cold-applied membranes. The asphaltic membranes always contain reinforcing fabrics. Elastomeric spray-applied membranes do not incorporate reinforcing fibres or felts and are normally installed over spray-applied PUR foam insulation. This system forms a continuous, self-flashing insulated membrane.

1.2.6 Brush- and Roller-Applied Systems
A number of glass-fibre reinforced resin systems are in use in the UK. They are applied by rolling the base coat onto a prepared plywood deck then imbedding the glass-fibre mat into the freshly laid resin by further rolling.

1.3 Common Insulated Roof Systems

The following roof systems are representative of those most commonly used in the countries participating in this IEA annex. All systems are shown with vapour retarders that prevent building generated moisture diffusing into the roof system – these may not be necessary in warm climates.

1.3.1 Warm Deck Systems – Single Type of Insulation
Conventional warm deck systems have insulation installed over the roof deck and under a waterproof covering. Systems employing a single type of insulation are easy to install and economical. The concrete deck example below is common for new construction and is only one of many possible combinations – including reproofing over an existing warm roof or over an old cold deck system.

![Diagram of warm roof deck system with single type of insulation.]

Figure 1. A common warm roof deck system with a single type of insulation.

1.3.2 Warm Deck Systems – Multiple Types of Insulation
Multiple types of insulation may be needed in some roof systems because of the range of functions required of the insulation. The figure below shows a system used successfully in the UK.
The high energy efficiency required of modern roof systems can result in three types of insulation being installed, with a perlite bottomboard over a steel deck to provide fire protection for the EPS insulation.

Figure 2. A warm deck roof with two insulations. The coverboard is a more acceptable substrate for mastic asphalt than the mineral wool primary insulation.

Figure 3. A three-insulation warm deck roof system. Some high-thermal performance foam insulations are sensitive to bitumens on the top and cannot meet the fire code over metal decks.

1.3.3 Cold Deck Systems

These are attractive economically, because they allow the use of low-density, low-cost mineral wool insulation. The figure below illustrates this type of system.
1.3.4 Metal Roof Systems

Metal roof systems employ seamed metal panels to form waterproof coverings. The figure shows a typical system with insulation installed over a metal deck and structural standing seams.

Metal roofing can be used to re-roof over any type of old roof membrane or roof deck type.

1.3.5 Protected Membrane Systems

A protected membrane system is a special warm deck system in which the insulation is located on the weather side of the waterproof membrane. Normally XPS is used as its thermal performance is not affected by the service conditions.

1.3.6 Spray-Applied Polyurethane Foam Systems

Spray applied PUR foam roofing is a monolithic, seamless, self-flashing system consisting of foamed-in-place insulation covered with a spray applied elastomeric membrane coating. It can be installed on a range of deck materials and for reproofing old roofs.
2. Good Practices for Insulated Roofs

When choosing the type and amount of insulation and the method to incorporate it into a roof system, one should be aware of the implications of this choice on other characteristics of the roof. Problems can result from increased membrane stress, moisture storage, reduced resistance to wind uplift, and increased fire hazard. For most problems, remedies have been identified and are part of standard good practice.

2.1 Design Issues

2.1.1 Optimum Thermal Efficiency

Insulation in low-slope roofs improves thermal efficiency and reduces the heating and cooling load on a building. This has a direct impact on the cost of operating the building but accurate in-service performance data must be available for realistic assessments to be made of these savings. For each of the participating countries sources of suitable information were obtained. From the Swedish Building Code there is extensive information (Petersson 1981; Petersson 1990; Petersson 1992) about how to correct the thermal performance of a roof system using XPS as a function of a number of effects due to moisture and weather in the context of the Swedish climate.

Using this type of information to predict the energy savings, and using the costs of installing the insulation the true life-cycle costs of insulation can be determined. This approach can then be used to determine the optimum thickness of the insulation for the building in question. This has been done for regions of the United States (DOE 1989) as shown below in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulation</th>
<th>Economic optimum thermal insulation thickness (mm)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild U.S. climates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous glass</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded polystyrene</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyisocyanurate</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² These calculations are typical only of U.S. conditions. In Scandanavia, with harsher climates and higher energy prices, a typical thickness of mineral wool is 200mm. Mandatory 1981 standards in Scandanavia call for 125mm in new housing.

These optimum thicknesses are largely independent of the insulating material use because of the relationship between the thermal resistance and cost of the materials. The more costly materials tend to have a better thermal performance per unit thickness.

2.1.2 Surface Temperature of Low-Slope Roofs

The solar absorptance of the roof membrane plays a dominant role in determining the daytime temperature of a roof. On a bright summer day, the temperature of a membrane with a solar absorptance of approximately 0.9 can easily exceed 70°C, and the surface temperature of a membrane with a solar absorptance of approximately 0.5 on a similar roof under similar conditions may not exceed 50°C.
The following factors affect roof temperature and it is generally accepted that these high temperatures will accelerate deterioration of the roof and should be avoided:

- roof insulation
- solar intensity
- environmental conditions (clouds, rain, wind)
- roof surface colour and texture
- roof surface infra-red emittance
- roof surface mass.

For an example of this, Figure 6 below, shows how the surface temperature is influenced by the roof membrane colour. It can be seen that a white surface can reduce the temperature by up to 30°C in comparison with a black roof membrane.

![Figure 6. Measured membrane surface temperature for white or black roof membranes during a typical August week at 40° north latitude in the United States.](image)

### 2.1.3 Interior Moisture

Leaks in the exterior waterproofing are the major source of water in insulated roof systems. Whilst migration of interior building water (into and from) insulated roofs occurs continuously it is not usually a problem, except for cold deck roof systems in exceptionally high humidity buildings. The relative lengths of the drying and wetting systems will determine whether moisture accumulates in the roof. Generally, it is good practice to examine whether a vapour retarder is needed in every roof design situation.

To alleviate the problem of moisture trapped between the retarder and the membrane a novel form of layered composite system has been developed. The system uses a porous synthetic fabric sandwiched by strips of impermeable plastic. There are gaps in the impermeable plastic that allow water and moisture to diffuse into and out of the porous fabric. See the figure below:
Figure 7. The water-permeable vapor retarder (hygro diode), a novel concept for moisture management in roof systems.

Interior moisture can be a serious problem in cold-deck systems as the moisture can condense on the underside of the deck. Generally, this can only be solved by careful sealing with a vapour retarder, and by providing ventilation to the cold side – even by mechanical ventilation.

2.1.4 Drainage

- Providing positive drainage by slopes in the range 1:48 to 1:24 is cost effective on a life cycle basis.

- Increasing the slope of entire BURs during replacement is more costly than replacement to the original slope and may not be cost effective on a life cycle basis. However, most roof leak problems involve flashings, and provision for good drainage around flashings is beneficial.

- Conversion of smaller buildings from BUR to a watershed system (metal or shingle) can be competitive on an initial cost basis with BUR replacement when re-roofing involves an upgrade in thermal performance.

Good drainage can be achieved in several ways on both new and old roofs. The structural deck can be tapered when a roof is being built either by varying the column height or, in the case of poured concrete, by varying the thickness of the pour. A full taper over the entire roof is preferable but may require a significant increase in the height of roof perimeters and curbs and relocation of drains.

Ponding on existing roofs indicates the need to look for more serious building problems such as foundation settlement or permanent structural deflections. Most roof leaks occur at roof details and flashings, therefore the designer must prevent ponding in these areas. All roofs should have saddles for slope between valley drains, tapers into drain sumps, added roof thickness (crickets) in flat corners and along the high side of long kerbs, and perimeter edge tapers for roofs with interior drains. Dividers on large roofs will limit the spread of water damage in the event of leaks.

2.1.5 Fire Resistance

Roof insulation significantly increases the complexity of design to comply with building code and insurance requirements, related to both exterior and interior fire sources. Organic foam insulations have flammability and membrane compatibility problems and other insulation types need to be placed under polystyrene and PIR foam insulations for bottom-side fire protection over steel decks as well as combustible decks. Top-side fire protection barriers (perlite mineral wool, or wood fibreboard) are normally required over polystyrene and PUR foam insulations.
when they are used with un-ballasted elastoplastic single-ply membranes. These top-side barriers also protect against high temperatures from asphalt melting or solar radiation.

2.1.6 Wind Resistance

Wind damage can occur suddenly and is often accompanied by rain, which causes further damage to the building. Increasing the insulation in roofs brings with it a number of edge detailing and attachment issues that can increase the vulnerability of the roof to damage by the wind. Predicting roof performance in storms is too inexact for reliable design. Fully adhered or monolithic roof systems offer the most wind protection although weak bonding of laminated systems may fail under uplift conditions.

Mechanical fasteners are a common method of attachment for insulated roof systems over ‘nailable’ decks. Short fastenings are favoured when using two layers of insulation and the first layer in mechanically attached to the deck and the second layer fixed to the first with an adhesive. This avoids thermal bridging and damage by foot traffic. Air barriers protect against uplift forces—a poured concrete deck is an excellent air barrier.

2.2 Maintenance Issues

All roofs require periodic maintenance for a long life but this is often overlooked or neglected and costly repairs or replacement can be the result. A regular inspection and maintenance programme should be carried out by the proper personnel and if done this will add years to the life of the roof. Most roof leaks involve flashing deterioration, puncture damage, or membrane lap defects, and are initially limited to a small percentage of the total roof area. Thus they are relatively inexpensive to repair if detected early. Roof traffic is a maintenance issue and clear pathways should be provided.

Maintenance issues should be addressed at the design stage and the requirements also need to be passed on in the case of a change of ownership.

Twice yearly inspections by a trained roof inspector can spot most problems visually and these should be acted on swiftly. Emergency repairs should be made good after locating the cause of the initial problem. This may require the services of an expert in non-destructive testing procedures but removal of wet insulation is vital to avoid further damage to the roof.
3. Insulation Related Roof Problems

3.1 Mechanical Problems

3.1.1 Membrane Splitting Over Insulation

Statement of the Problem
Membrane splitting is a localised, often sudden, rupture of a roofing membrane that develops when induced stress causes the differential strain to exceed the limits of the membrane material in place on its substrate. Insulation contributes to membrane splitting because it isolates the membrane both thermally and mechanically from the structural substrate. The thermal isolation exposes the membrane to greater temperature fluctuations and thereby increased stresses from contraction and expansion.

Evidence
The field studies showed that BUR membrane splitting depends on deck type, length of span between steel deck bar joist supports and the grade (ductility) of the asphalt in the roofing membrane. Splitting problems with unreinforced PVC membranes were identified as excessive shrinkage and embrittlement of the membrane by UV rays. Laboratory evidence led to the specification of the 'thermal shock resistance' as a minimum tensile strength of 1380 kPa at -18°C and this was widely accepted by the roofing industry. This was achieved by glass-reinforced BUR and gave excellent performance. Subsequently, some polyester fibre reinforced membranes performed well despite not meeting the 1974 criteria. (NRCA undated, Rosenfield (1981), NIST).

Analysis
The severity of splitting problems with BUR reduced from the many lawsuits against roofing contractors in the 1960s and 1970s to few problems when installed in accordance with NRCA recommendations. These incorporate the following:

- NBR NBSIR 86-3418 strain energy and waterproofness recommendations (1986)
- FM loss prevention data sheet 1-28 (requiring mechanical attachment of roof systems over steel decks)
- FM loss prevention data sheet 1-52 (prescribing a field test procedure for wind uplift with both new roof construction and reproofing)

Successful field experience confirms that corrective remedies are adequate. Splitting problems of fibreglass reinforced BUR are minimal and modified asphalt products are regarded as superior flashing and repair materials. Splitting of unreinforced PVC membranes has been largely overcome by using more durable plasticisers and membrane reinforcement.

3.1.2 Flashing Pull-Away and Membrane Splitting Around Fasteners

Statement of the Problem
Problems with flashing pull-away and membrane splitting around fasteners can be caused either by lack of dimensional stability in the membrane or the roofing felt or by insufficient compres-
sion strength in the insulation material. The importance of the latter has increased with the increase in thickness of insulation and the resulting use of long mechanical fasteners.

Evidence
The increased thickness of compressible insulation (up to 200mm in Denmark) has led to some serious problems. Foot traffic over the roof can cause significant problems with such thick layers of compressible insulation:

- deformations of more than 15 to 20 mm
- pressing the fastener heads against the membrane leading to underside membrane puncture
- bending fastener plates leading to tearing membranes
- stripped threads in metal decks
- compression should not exceed 4% or 12mm.

Analysis
In Europe the problem of membrane splitting around fasteners does not appear to be severe. Manufacturers have modified their products accordingly, producing telescopic fasteners for soft mineral wool insulation. In the US locking plate fasteners have been introduced to reduce the problem with thick, soft insulation.

There are a number of available remedies with guidance from UEAtc guidelines and hardware such as triangular clips and telescopic fasteners.

3.2 Physical and Chemical Degradation

3.2.1 Corrosion of Metal in Roofing Systems

Statement of the Problem
Corrosion of the metal deck and metal fasteners can be promoted by high thermal performance roof systems because of:

- increased likelihood of water retention
- presence of corrosive ingredients in the insulation
- warmer environment.

Evidence
Many observations of corrosion have been reported and there is evidence of the corrosion of metal decks in insulated cold deck systems and attic systems. In Scotland the situation was sufficiently bad that cold deck systems, even with ventilation, are no longer recommended. Similarly, retrofitting insulation to lead roofs has caused rapid failure because of condensation in the lead-clad warm deck roofing systems. From the industrial application of insulation there is evidence of the corrosivity of wet insulation and this may also be assumed to be the case in roofing systems.

Analysis
It is clear that the risk from corrosion in roof systems is real and as metal components are increasingly used the potential for failures is greater. However, there is little firm evidence of the severity of the problem at the time of writing, but because the known physical characteristics in insulated roofs will enhance the chances of corrosion the situation is taken seriously. Moves have been made by the US insurance industry to prevent failure of fasteners by developing requirements to enhance their corrosion resistance.
Corrosion of metal fixings in cold deck roofing systems is a potentially more serious problem because of the difficulty of preventing moisture from moving into the roof cavity. Again, the presence of chemicals in preservatives and fire retardants may exacerbate the situation and make aluminium components particularly vulnerable to attack.

Current practice suggests the available remedies for reducing corrosion potential:
- keeping water out of roofs at the time of construction and by routine maintenance
- using sacrificial and barrier coatings to metal surfaces
- careful selection of materials when fire retardants and preservatives are used
- use non-metal components such as plastics and polypropylene.

Care should be taken not to increase fire resistance and wind uplift risk by the use of non-metal fasteners.

### 3.2.2 Physical Deterioration of Insulations

#### Statement of the Problem
Water entering insulating systems from either leaks or condensation can cause sever dimensional change, delamination, and decay in moisture sensitive fibrous insulations, especially fibreboard and perlite. Plastic foam insulations are less affected by moisture, but facer delamination is an issue because it can reduce wind uplift resistance.

#### Evidence
Water attack in cellulosic fibre insulations can have serious consequences. Moisture and warmth (Baker 1980) can lead to swelling and rotting of the material – particularly fibreboard and perlite. Glass wool and rock wool inorganic fibres are more resistant to water attack but both the physical properties and the binders may be degraded.

In general the organic foam insulations are more water resistant than the fibrous materials. However, the dimensional stability of PUR and PIR insulations can be seriously damaged by high humidity and elevated temperatures, and as a consequence ASTM D2126 was introduced as a standard for performance under these conditions. With the adoption of organic foam insulations it became necessary to mix the various types of insulation material in the roof system to meet other design needs – such as fire resistance – and this means that the problems associated with the least resistant component must be considered at all times.

Field experience as reported by the NRCA in 1987 found three insulation problems:
- deterioration of fibreboard
- separation of PIR foam facers
- crushing of phenolic foam.

These appear to be isolated occurrences and there is little evidence of problems other than when cost-cutting or inappropriate use is made of the materials. The reduction of the asphalt content in fibreboard, to lessen fire hazard, makes the material more prone to delamination by moisture absorption. A range of delamination failures has been observed with both BUR and spray applied coatings.

Closed cell phenolic foam has been observed to fail near mechanical fasteners and suffer delamination and crushing by roof traffic.

From laboratory developments, and the adoption of ASTM standards for each type of insulation, products made in accordance with the standards have a history of relatively good field performance.
Analysis
Although serious problems can occur by physical failure of the roof system they have only been observed in isolated cases and were solved by material manufacturers or contractors without publicity.

The best available remedy for avoiding these problems is to comply with all the relevant ASTM specifications and applied best practice in the design and specification of the roof.

3.2.3 Research Needs
More comprehensive laboratory methods for assessing corrosion mechanisms should then build on the field data. Testing of roofing materials, and insulations in particular, should establish limits of corrosiveness.

Ways of moving water from wet insulation to the surface of the roof should be investigated and methods of monitoring moisture levels need to be developed.

A means of reducing the amount of metal in roof systems needs to be explored (e.g. by overcoming economic and technical concerns about the use of concrete and wooden decks, of fully adhered systems, and of ballasted loose-laid systems).

There is a special need to improve facer bonding, particularly for foam insulations.

3.3 Shortfalls From Design Thermal Performance

3.3.1 Thermal Bridging

Statement of the Problem
Thermal bridging arising from poor design or workmanship can lead to either minor faults such as condensation and mould growth or a significant leak.

Evidence
As thermal insulation levels increase the visible consequences of thermal bridges become more apparent. Consequently most countries have regulations in place to limit the occurrence of thermal bridges but these rarely take account of the risks associated with the local effects created by fixings and other small objects that penetrate the roof. These very local effects may contribute to roof failures.

Most field studies have focussed on the impact of thermal bridging on the thermal performance of insulated components of a building rather than the specific issue of interstitial condensation in roof systems. One UK study showed the increased risk of condensation on the underside of a membrane following a rainstorm.

Numerous models are available to predict the effects of thermal bridges but only the more complex are suitable for investigating interstitial condensation at bridging elements that cannot be eliminated.

Analysis
The evidence supports the hypothesis that increased insulation may enhance the effects of cold bridging and lead to interstitial condensation.
The warm deck roof system is the most satisfactory solution as the heat loss is uniform across the roof and the roof components are consistently near room temperature. The protected membrane (upside-down) roof system can experience condensation on the deck following rain or snow-melt. Good drainage of the roof deck is recommended.

Cold deck systems can often experience interstitial condensation even with a layer of insulation at ceiling level (Anderson 1981). Similarly, parapets, roof penetrations, and concealed or valley gutters can prove problematic and it is best to eliminate these features.

3.3.2 Thermal Drift of Insulation

Statement of the Problem
Closed cell foam insulations commonly used in roof systems include PUR, PIR, phenolic, and XPS. These are produced by using a CFC blowing agent with warm, fluid polyol blends. This gives a closed cell structure to the material with each cell filled with a mixture of air and CFC. The initial excellent thermal conductivity of the materials (0.017 W/(m.K)) are a function of the material and the low thermal conductivity of the CFC gases. Over time the CFC gas diffuses out of the cells to be replaced by air and the thermal performance deteriorates and the ‘aged’ thermal conductivity is approximately 0.025 W/(m.K). This change in performance has not always been accounted for by the roofing industry.

More significant has been the recognition of the role of CFC gases in ozone depletion and their subsequent elimination from insulation materials. Efforts are underway to find suitable substitutes.

Evidence
The thermal drift associated with CFC blown insulation was known since 1967 (Norton (1967)) but the roofing industry did not acknowledge this until 1983 when ‘aged’ values began to be quoted. However, the values used were not truly representative because the aging process agreed was for 180 days which is not sufficient to reflect the longer use in roofs. Brandreth (1986, 1991) details all the key technical considerations about thermal drift.

Field experience with the thermal drift of aged foams shows a wide variation in performance between similar materials produced by different makers and over different time scales of storage or installation. A clear correlation existed between the percentage of open cells in commercial board stock roof insulation and the change in insulation level Muhlenkamp (1983). The more open celled materials all changed more than the materials with a lower percentage of open cells. Measurements for XPS by Epstein (1977) showed a small range of values after 5 to 8 years exposure that is consistent with expectations for that material. There are no conclusive results for phenolic materials.

Laboratory testing of materials has confirmed the importance of the proportion of closed cells and cell wall thickness permeability. However, it has not established the long-term stability of CFC blown phenolic foams. The impact of facer permeability on thermal drift has been emphasised and the importance of low-permeability coatings on aluminium foil facers.

The thermal performance of cellular plastic foam insulations and thermal drift have been extensively modelled - and accurately. There is a need for better data on heat and mass transfer in cell walls and the need for a better formulation of radiation heat transfer in the insulation.

Analysis
The mechanism of thermal drift of PUR, PIR, and XPS insulations blown with CFC is well understood and can be modelled quite accurately. This should also be the case for CFC substitutes.
The problem has not received wide attention because the economic impact has not been realised - both in increased operating costs and replacement costs at re-roofing to retrieve the correct design specification. If the aged values of thermal conductivities were quoted the problem would not be of such concern.

3.3.3 Research Needs
Both thermal bridging and thermal drift are well understood but further knowledge in specific areas is required. In the case of thermal bridges there is a need for a simple easy-to-use technique for estimating their impact. As a related problem the risks from interstitial condensation are a matter of concern.

Thermal drift is being researched with respect to replacement blowing agents that have lower ODP than CFCs but further research is needed to find blowing agents with no ODP. Laboratory tests for accelerated testing of thermal drift in closed cell materials are also required.

3.4 Moisture Intrusion and Effects of Retention

3.4.1 Membrane Blistering

Statement of the Problem
Blistering is caused when water or water vapour migrates into separations or voids within a membrane, or between a membrane and substrate, and solar heat causes it to expand. It is not always insulation related but is significantly increased when BUR is installed over entrapped moisture or directly over closed-cell, thermosetting foam insulations (PUR, PIR, or phenolic).

Evidence
Blistering came to prominence in the 1970s when BUR was installed directly on top of close-cell PUR insulation. Testing showed that it was not a problem caused by poor workmanship rather it was a function of evaporation and trapping of surface moisture. Modelling also confirmed that this was the mechanism of blister formation. Out of this research comes the need to install a porous insulation board between closed-cell foam insulations and BUR.

Analysis
The blistering of membranes was a significant problem and based on research initiatives solutions to the problems were devised. Low equilibrium moisture content fibreglass facers were adopted widely by the industry and recommendations were made that BURs should not be installed directly over closed cell foam insulations.

Kindermann, (1980) reported that BUR had been used successfully in Germany through the installation of a ‘vapour pressure compensation layer’ between the foam insulation and the BUR. In Europe perforated base sheets have been used to attach the membrane with asphalt at the spots provided by the perforations. This may provide more blister venting relief than unperforated base sheets commonly used in the US. If blistering occurs in an existing BUR system there are techniques available to relieve the pressure in the membrane such as the pressure relief valve developed at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Laboratory (Korhonen and Greatorex 1983).
3.4.2 Localised Ponding and Freezing of Pools of Water

Statement of the Problem
Insulation increases the severity of problems associated with ponding and poor drainage of water. The insulated membranes are colder for longer periods in winter and this increases the risk from freezing water.

Evidence
Membrane water leakage depends on the volume of the pond and the time that the roof is exposed to it (Griffin (1983) and Baker (1980)). Both of these increase with high thermal performance roofs and the extended period of coverage with ice and slush make repairs more difficult. These factors can lead to problems of insurance when there is lack of adequate drainage. Field studies have not been entirely conclusive but frequent observations of cracks following the patterns of ice splits have been sufficient to provide strong evidence of ice-induced cracking - particularly with weak, smooth-surfaced BURs.

The good insulating characteristics of snow can result in the membrane being in contact with water beneath the snow cover. Laboratory tests by Laaly (1977) on a BUR membrane showed an 81% loss of strength after 110 days of water immersion and an 89% loss of strength after ten freeze-thaw cycles. Modelling is useful for studying the effects of ice bonding to membranes but most problems can be understood without them.

Analysis
It is an established principle that ponding is problematic on low-slope roofs and that this will act as a reservoir to supply any leak. Empirical evidence has shown that snow depth and melt water reservoir increase as insulation increases. This can lead to thick ice formations at night and increased risk of splitting.

Good drainage design and use of the most robust membranes available are the best remedies for ponding and ice splitting. Good drainage requires not just increased sloped but also careful positioning of the scuppers/drain from the roof, and the use of interior drains in cold climates. Large rooftop mounted equipment should be avoided as it can increase ponding. Gravel surfacing is often used, to minimise the risk of ice splitting, but this then presents problems for roof repairs.

3.4.3 Roof Leak Detection and Correction

Statement of the problem
Roof insulation can result in water leaks being obscured from view for a greater time than in an un-insulated roof, and also hide the source of the leak because the insulation acts as a reservoir and the water will also travel laterally under the insulation. The insulation itself is often damaged by the water and loses its insulating capability as well as also holding a considerable amount of water to cause further damage.

However, damage to the insulation typically does not extend to more than 15% of the roof (Tobiasson 1982) and therefore it is important not to destroy the rest of the expensive insulation by completely tearing off the roof in order to replace this small proportion. This is where leak detection systems can be of great use.

Laboratory and field experience has shown that there are a number of non-destructive tests that can be used to locate wet areas of insulated roofs, using infrared, nuclear, and capacitance measurements that can differentiate between wet and dry material. These have been used successfully on a large number of roofs and small areas of wetness revealed – even when no leak
had been previously reported. However, these techniques do not accurately determine the moisture content of the insulation and this must be done by conventional gravimetric analysis of the moisture content. Significant cost savings have been achieved when using these techniques.

The possibility of drying the insulation in-situ after the repair of a leak has been examined but the difficulties of doing this satisfactorily are rather great, particularly to the external environment. Drying to the internal environment is a more likely solution to the problem.

Analysis
To avoid water penetration into insulated roofs good drainage is essential, even on good quality roofs. Most leaks occur at roof perimeters, penetration flashings, and drains. Semi-annual roof inspections and maintenance are needed to correct small problems before they become major failures.

3.4.4 Condensation Effects from Trapped Water

Statement of the Problem
Water trapped inside the roof system, without means of egress, can cycle between evaporation and condensation and cause local blistering. The liquid will cause materials to degrade and corrode and affect the thermal performance of the material. Also ice may form underneath the membrane causing sudden large flows of water on melting.

Evidence
Moisture trapped in new roofs comes from two main sources:
- from precipitation trapped during construction or from wet materials
- ingress from the interior of the building.
Small amounts are not normally a problem as dispersion and evaporation will remove them before damage occurs. If wet insulation or significant rain or snow penetration occurs this will significantly increase the risk of roof damage particularly if the moisture is trapped between impermeable membranes. Where a roof is installed over a very moist zone (swimming pool, kitchen) then careful venting arrangements of the roof are required to avoid condensation on cold surfaces. Likewise the location of the insulation is important and warm roof construction avoids many of the cold bridging issues.

Insulation outside of the membrane mitigates potential moisture problems both in new and retrofit roofs, although when adding insulation there is a need for high quality workmanship. Moisture moves through overlaps between the sheets and holes in roofs of load-bearing profiled steel sheeting (Samuelsson 1976).

Analysis
Damage can occur from the above causes but only isolated cases have been reported. There are remedies and, for example, to stop the construction water in a concrete deck from diffusing up through the thermal insulation, a vapour retarder can be laid on the top of the deck below the insulation.

To prevent humidity from the indoor air migrating into the roof take care to make the roof deck airtight and limit sources of indoor moisture. If reproofing an existing building use sufficient insulation to avoid any condensation within the old roof covering. Do not use wet materials in the construction, or allow part-finished roofs to be exposed to precipitation.
3.4.5 Diurnal Hygrothermal Cycling

Statement of the Problem
The daily and seasonal changes in external weather and internal conditions can cause rapid and major changes in the hygrothermal conditions within the roof system. Insulation plays a major role in this and there has been little research in this area.

Evidence
Diurnal processes can lead to effects such as blistering, corrosion, condensation under the membrane, summer condensation, or a one-way heat pipe effect in mineral wool insulation. The most common failures are due to blistering of BUR membranes, although recently in the UK there is strong evidence of failure of metal clad roofs due to interstitial condensation arising from diurnal moisture movement. Methods of predicting the risk of interstitial condensation tend to be based on steady-state models that do not adequately deal with the complex diurnal effects.

Few references were found dealing with field or laboratory studies of diurnal moisture movement as it is a difficult condition to monitor. However, Korsgaard (1989) has some valuable data and work at BRE had already highlighted the problem of clear nighttime radiation causing condensation inside asbestos roofs.

The development of dynamic models capable of simulating the diurnal hygrothermal performance of roofs was the subject of considerable research activity although at the time of reporting none was cited.

Data analysis
There is theoretical and physical evidence to support the hypothesis that adding insulation to roofs changes the diurnal hygrothermal balance, but evidence is hard to find because of masking by other effects.

Diurnal hygrothermal cycling is very difficult to avoid as completely dry and watertight roofs are elusive concepts. Ventilated cavities can assist in preventing the problem and in some cases this needs to be by mechanical means to achieve reliable performance. The new range of materials, such as water-permeable vapour retarders and breathable membranes, appear to reduce the long-term moisture load in roof voids, but do not eliminate the risk entirely. Conversion of a cold-deck system to warm deck by adding insulation on top can have significant benefits.
4. Example Roof Systems

In this chapter are presented examples of common roof systems that have been built in participating countries and proven to work properly. They serve as examples of how problems have been overcome to achieve high-thermal performance roofs.

4.1 Warm Deck Systems: Single Type of Insulation

Conventional warm deck systems, with insulation over a roof deck and under a waterproof membrane, (Figure 8), can also include re-roofing without tearing off the existing roof.

4.1.1 New Construction

These systems require few components and minimum application of labour and are therefore economical. A common system is shown in the figure below and has mineral wool insulation adhered to a concrete deck with a two-ply BUR as a vapour retarder. It is water proofed with a BUR membrane. This roof specification has the following advantages;

- good fire resistance
- high wind uplift resistance
- good thermal performance.

There are other methods of insulating over a concrete deck and PIR is often used because it has a high thermal resistance per unit thickness compared with other common roof insulations, but care must be taken with thermal drift. All single layer systems will suffer from cold bridges at joints or from mechanical fixings unless they are used in multiple layers.

4.1.2 Re-roofing Over an Old Warm Deck Roof

The practice of re-roofing without removing the old roofing system (Figure 9), placing a layer of insulation between the old and new membranes, requires attention to the mechanical attachment of the new roofing. To provide adequate wind resistance the new roofing should be attached mechanically through the old system into the deck.
Mineral wool is a good material to use as it conforms to irregularities such as gravel and its porous nature provides vapour pressure relief to minimise blistering. Any type of material may be used, even metal sheeting with a fibrous blanket insulation, but special venting between the old and new systems may be needed to prevent corrosion. If under deck insulation is specified then careful design is required to avoid condensation problems.

4.1.3 Re-roofing Over an Old Cold Deck Roof
Cold deck systems are potentially more susceptible to under deck condensation problems than warm deck roofs. The primary difficulty is to avoid penetrations and open lap joints in a vapour retarder on the underside of a roof. If moisture condensation has been a problem then re-roofing with added insulation over the deck will both improve thermal performance and solve the moisture problem. A typical re-roof over an old cold deck system is shown below in Figure 10.

The wooden deck shown could also be a concrete deck but steel is not common for cold deck design. For a wooden deck the new insulation is typically attached with fasteners. For concrete decks hot bitumen is common and it is important to secure loose plies to resist wind up-lift. Concrete decks seldom have under deck insulation and they can stand the extra weight of a ballasted membrane over a loose-laid foam.

The insulation thickness should be adequate to prevent condensation of trapped moisture and also keep moisture content below 20% with wood deck to prevent deterioration.
4.2 Warm Decks Systems: Multiple Types of Insulation

4.2.1 Insulation with Coverboard
The use of coverboards provides fire and hot asphalt resistance to high thermal performance roof systems that use organic foam insulation. A typical two insulation roof is shown below and here the perlitic insulation board provides fire protection that the PIR does not.

![Diagram of a two-insulation roof system](image)

*Figure 11. A typical two-insulation roof system. The perlitic coverboard provides required fire protection.*

Coverboards, such as high density mineral fibre, corkboard, wood fibreboard, perlite, or mineral wool, are also used over polystyrene foam insulation to resist hot asphalt melting or elastoplastic solvent adhesive attack of the polystyrene. In Europe, rigid fibreboard is used to provide a base for asphalt mastic membranes and fire resistance.

Two layer construction can also reduce thermal bridges by staggered joints and overlaps.

4.2.2 Three-Layer System
Multiple insulation systems require at least three layers – bottom-side and top-side protection to the insulation to provide fire resistance and/or membrane compatibility. A typical roof system of this type employs perlite bottom board insulation mechanically fastened to a steel deck, EPS primary insulation, and fibreboard coverboard insulation. Both the EPS and the fibreboard are attached with hot asphalt under a BUR membrane. The use of a vapour retarder is recommended in cold climates. This form of roof provides the thermal needs of most climates given the correct specification of insulation thickness. Satisfactory long-term performance has been established but good workmanship is required to keep the asphalt from melting the EPS. The extra cost of the additional layers needs to be offset by the price advantage of the primary EPS insulation.

Other permutations of materials may be specified as the requirements and cost appraisal indicates.

4.3 Cold Deck Systems

4.3.1 Conventional Cold Deck System
In cold deck roof systems the insulation is installed below the deck. Waterproofing is attached directly to the deck and as a result the deck and the waterproofing will be close to outside temperature. Cold decks are typically constructed from wood and therefore they should be protected from construction water. They are not a good system to use over buildings with a high internal humidity (e.g. swimming pool).
Ventilated Cold Deck Systems
In a conventional cold roof deck the height of the joists that support the wood deck is less than the thickness of the insulation that is placed at the bottom edge of the joists and leaves a gap between it and the deck. In the ventilated cold deck system this space is used to convey relatively drier external air across the deck to remove any moisture. The heat loss from the roof should not be significantly increased because of the insulation layer below. However, this also limits the moisture removal and condensation may occur on clear nights.

Because the air-flow in the roof is highly dependent on a number of factors, and can be hard to predict, using ventilated roofs is seen as a poor option for large roofs in cold or temperate and humid climates.

Unventilated Cold Deck Systems
At the time of reporting, unventilated cold deck roof systems were not considered a viable option because of cold deck moisture problems. The problems of internal moisture migration can be greatly reduced by using vapour retarders to the system and omitting vent openings in the roofing membrane and eaves.

Where moisture from construction water, or leaks, is a problem the traditional waterproof vapour retarder can be replaced with a water-permeable vapour retarder. This allows trapped moisture to escape to the building interior while providing an effective vapour retarder against upward diffusion.

Since ventilation is not necessary the whole space can be filled with insulation to a very high R-value. Computer programs can verify the conditions under which the roof will operate successfully.

4.3.2 Raised Cold Deck Roofs
In raised cold deck roofs, the roof deck or outer roof comprises tongue and groove boards that are covered with a BUR membrane. The boards are nailed to timbers, which are sloping beams with short vertical wooden supports fixed to a concrete underlying structure. The insulation, normally mineral wool, is laid on the underlying structure. There is a large gap between the outer roof and insulation and this provides useful space for further insulation, see figure 12.

![Figure 12. A raised cold-deck roof system. The roof slope is toward internal drains.](image)

These roofs often have low slopes (although a minimum of 1:100 is recommended in Sweden) and therefore the membrane on the roof boarding must be able to bear the pressure of any standing water. Internal warm drains are often used.

Ventilation of the roof space is important, although the wooden boards will normally cope with nighttime condensation, and under some conditions mechanical ventilation would be needed. In
this case it is important to supply air to the void and create an overpressure to reduce the possibility of moisture ingress from below.

4.4 Metal Roof Systems

4.4.1 New Roof

Insulated metal roofing systems employ metal panels for durable waterproofing, along with insulation installed underneath the metal panels and a variety of system attachments to the structural roof supports. The exterior metal panels are most commonly polymer-coated, corrosion-treated steel, but other metals such as uncoated copper, aluminium, and stainless steel are used.

The figure below shows a common system of this type. It has rigid PIR or PUR insulation sandwiched between structural metal decking and the external metal roof panels. The metal panels have long runs to minimise the need for end joints, and the side seams have a standing (raised) seam design to minimise the opportunity for water intrusion. The attachment clips eliminate any penetration of the roof panels and because they are not visible are referred to as ‘secret fix’. The design of the fixings for the insulation has developed as insulation thickness has increased. The newer designs of fixings and spacers allow for roof traffic without the risk of damage to the insulation or compression seals.

Various types of insulations and bonding methods can be adopted with metal sheet roofs. This includes the use of mineral fibre and loose insulation, which can be supported on liner panels or bonded to unitised panels. Composite panels use two profiled sheets between which the insulation is foamed in a factory. This product has performed well in service and can also be used for wall cladding.

Insulated metal roofs will give long-term durability if correctly designed and specified, with attention being paid to details around penetrations, condensation and corrosion risks. In particular, the method of sealing penetrations and edges is important, because thermal movement will cause sealant filled joints to fail - metal flashings are recommended. Condensation is a concern and ventilation is needed because vapour tight barriers are difficult to achieve even with metal liner panels. In some countries vapour permeable membranes are used.

Corrosion can be countered by protective coatings and paints, but cut ends and bimetallic corrosion are both possible causes of failure. Because the metal roofing is susceptible to damage from roof traffic separate walkways are recommended.

4.4.2 Reroofing

Using a metal roof over an existing roof is similar to providing a new roof and much of the guidance on new metal roofs applies. The new metal roof is installed at a slope of at least 1:48 and the framing allows for additional insulation to be added later. The framing is attached either to the old roof deck or the structural members as appropriate.

Condensation is of special concern because the fixing of the new roof frame can disturb the old roof structure and introduce moisture transfer pathways from the building to the cold chamber between the old and new roofs. Condensation and then corrosion problems ensue. Good standards of design and workmanship are required in metal roofs because of the thermally induced movement.

Research is needed to address the life cycle costs of metal roofs because it is initially more expensive and this must be recovered by lower maintenance costs and longer service life.
4.5 Protected Membrane Systems

In protected membrane systems, a thermal insulation layer is installed on top of the waterproofing membrane. This type of roof is also called an ‘upside-down’ roof. Even if there is insulation both above and below the membrane it is still considered as a protected membrane system. In Scandinavia and Germany it is called a ‘duo-roof’.

To withstand exposure to the elements the insulation is normally XPS boards, in either one or two layers. Exposing the insulation can bring about a loss in performance and this can be allowed for in the initial specification as in the Swedish Building Codes (Peterson 1990, 1992). Moisture taken up by XPS, varies with the topping and the number of layers. Care should always be taken to make it difficult for water to enter the insulation layers by avoiding overlapping joints and the use of air permeable sheets placed between the insulation and the topping material.

If mineral wool is used it should have factory applied vapour retarder on its underside and then care needs to be taken over jointing to avoid moisture entering the insulation and degrading its performance. For the duo-roof the least expensive material is used beneath the membrane, but the insulation above must be able to resist mechanical and thermal effects. If the duo-roof is build on a concrete deck it should have a vapour retarder above the concrete.

4.6 Spray-Applied Foam Systems

Spray applied PUR foam roofing is a monolithic, seamless, self-flashing system consisting of foamed-in-place insulation covered with a spray-applied membrane coating. A common system of this type employs PUR foam with a density of 40 to 56 kg/m³ sprayed in successive layers each about 13 to 19 mm thick over a primed concrete deck. For weather protection, an elastomeric coating is spray-applied on top of the PUR foam.

This system is easy to apply and can provide any amount of thermal insulation. However, its performance is dependent on the care taken during application and this has been the cause of some failures. Use over moist surfaces generally causes failure and pinholes in the elastomeric coating indicates poor application. The PUR degrades with exposure to sunlight and moisture recoating every 5 to 10 years is recommended.
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